



Amendments to H.R. 2028—Fiscal Year 2016 Energy and Water Appropriations (Simpson, R-ID) – Part III

CONTACT: MATT DICKERSON, MATTHEW.DICKERSON@MAIL.HOUSE.GOV, 6-9718

The Committee Report can be found [here](#), and the text of the legislation can be found [here](#).

AMENDMENTS WITH REQUESTED VOTES:

1. [Rothfus \(R-PA\)](#): Would prohibit the use of funds by the Department of Energy to apply the report entitled "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Perspective on Exporting Liquefied Natural Gas from the United States" to determine whether a LNG export application is in the public interest. The Administration's [report claims](#) that LNG exports are bad for climate change. An identical amendment to the FY 2015 bill was approved by a [232 – 187](#) vote.
2. [Gosar \(R-AZ\)](#): Would prohibit funds for DOE's Climate Model Development and Validation program. According to the amendment sponsor, the program is duplicative because many organizations and institutions already fund various climate modeling projects. DOE has requested \$18.730 million this year for the program in their budget request. An identical amendment to the FY 2015 bill was passed by voice vote.
3. [Blackburn \(R-TN\)](#): Would reduce the amounts made available by the bill by one percent across-the-board.
4. [McClintock \(R-CA\)](#): Would prohibit any funds made available to the Bureau of Reclamation to purchase water to release in California that are mandated under certain environmental laws. California is currently experiencing the [most severe drought in 1,200 years](#), yet the Bureau of Reclamation has [ordered the release](#) of billions of gallons of water under environmental laws to save small numbers of fish.
5. [LaMalfa \(R-CA\)](#): Would prohibit funds from being used to regulate agricultural activities identified in or agricultural exemptions granted under certain sections of the Clean Water Act.
6. [LaMalfa \(R-CA\)](#): Would prohibit funds from being used to deliver water to the Trinity River above the minimum requirements of the Trinity Record of Decision or to supplement flows in the Klamath River.

NOTE: RSC Legislative Bulletins are for informational purposes only and should not be taken as statements of support or opposition from the Republican Study Committee.

###